

## Hardy's Inequality for Functions of Several Complex Variables (Ketidaksamaan Hardy untuk Fungsi Beberapa Pemboleh Ubah Kompleks)

VANSAK SAM & KAMTHORN CHAILUEK\*

### ABSTRACT

We obtain a generalization of Hardy's inequality for functions in the Hardy space  $H^1(\mathbb{B}_d)$ , where  $\mathbb{B}_d$  is the unit ball  $\{z = (z_1, \dots, z_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d \mid \sum_{i=1}^d |z_i|^2 < 1\}$ . In particular, we construct a function  $\phi$  on the set of  $d$ -dimensional multi-indices  $\{n = (n_1, \dots, n_d) \mid n_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}$  and prove that if  $f(z) = \sum a_n z^n$  is a function in  $H^1(\mathbb{B}_d)$ , then  $\sum_{|n|=0}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|}{\phi(n)+1} \leq \pi \|f\|_1$ . Moreover, our proof shows that this inequality is also valid for functions in Hardy space on the polydisk  $H^1(\mathbb{D}^d)$ .

*Keywords:* Hardy's inequality; Hardy space and Hilbert's inequality

### ABSTRAK

Kami memperoleh generalisasi ketidakseimbangan Hardy's untuk fungsi dalam ruang Hardy  $H^1(\mathbb{B}_d)$ , dengan  $\mathbb{B}_d$  adalah unit bola  $\{z = (z_1, \dots, z_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d \mid \sum_{i=1}^d |z_i|^2 < 1\}$ . Secara khususnya, kami membina fungsi  $\phi$  pada set indeks pelbagai  $d$ -dimensi  $\{n = (n_1, \dots, n_d) \mid n_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}$  dan membuktikan bahawa jika  $f(z) = \sum a_n z^n$  adalah fungsi di  $H^1(\mathbb{B}_d)$ , kemudian  $\sum_{|n|=0}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|}{\phi(n)+1} \leq \pi \|f\|_1$ . Selain itu, bukti kami menunjukkan bahawa ketidakseimbangan ini juga adalah sah untuk fungsi dalam ruang Hardy ke atas polidisk  $H^1(\mathbb{D}^d)$ .

*Kata kunci:* Ketidaksamaan Hardy; Ruang Hardy dan ketidaksamaan Hilbert

### INTRODUCTION

For  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_d)$  in the  $d$ -dimensional complex Euclidean space  $\mathbb{C}^d$ , we define  $\|z\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^d |z_i|^2$ . Let  $\mathbb{B}_d$  denote the open unit ball containing  $z \in \mathbb{C}^d$  such that  $\|z\|^2 < 1$ . A function  $f: \mathbb{B}_d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic if for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$  and each fixed  $z_1, \dots, z_{i-1}, z_{i+1}, \dots, z_d$ , the function  $f_i: \xi \mapsto f(z_1, \dots, z_{i-1}, \xi, z_{i+1}, \dots, z_d)$  is holomorphic as a function of one variable. For  $0 < p < \infty$ , the Hardy space  $H^p(\mathbb{B}_d)$  consists of all holomorphic functions  $f$  defined  $\mathbb{B}_d$  on satisfying

$$\|f\|_p^p = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{S_d} |f(r\xi)|^p d\sigma(\xi) < \infty,$$

where  $S_d$  is the boundary of  $\mathbb{B}_d$  and  $d\sigma$  is the normalized surface measure. Note that one can also define Hardy space of functions defined on the polydisk  $\mathbb{B}^d = \mathbb{B} \times \dots \times \mathbb{B}$  as the space of holomorphic functions  $f$  satisfying:

$$\sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{[0, 2\pi]^d} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p \frac{d\theta}{(2\pi)^d} < \infty,$$

where  $e^{i\theta} = (e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_d})$  and  $d\theta = d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_d$ .

For the case  $p = 1$ , Hardy's inequality for functions of one variable defined on the unit ball in  $\mathbb{C}$  is well-known.

It states that if  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in H^1$ , then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|}{n+1} \leq \pi \|f\|_1,$$

see Duren (1970).

There are some connections between Hardy's inequality and inequalities in other Hilbert spaces. For example, Zhu (2004) translated this Hardy's inequality

to the inequality  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+2)\Gamma(\frac{\pi}{2}+1)}{(n+1)\Gamma(\frac{\pi}{2}+\alpha+2)} |a_n| \leq \pi \int_{\mathbb{B}} |f(z)| dA_{\alpha}(z)$

for functions  $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  in the Bergman space  $A_{\alpha}^1$ .

Sometimes, Hardy's inequality appears in an integral form. In Sababheh (2008a, 2008b), the author proved Hardy-type inequalities concerning the integral of the Fourier transform  $\hat{f}$  of a function  $f$  with certain properties.

That is, for  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\int_{-\infty}^x f(t) dt \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\hat{f}(\xi) = 0$  when  $\xi < 0$  and  $\alpha > 2$ , the inequality  $\int_0^\infty \frac{|\hat{f}(\xi)|^\alpha}{\xi} d\xi \leq 2\pi \|f\|^\alpha$  holds.

There is also a generalization on the multiplier  $\frac{1}{n+1}$  in the summation of Hardy's inequality. Paulsen and Singh (2015) replaced the term  $\frac{1}{n+1}$  in  $\sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{|a_n|}{n+1} \leq \pi \|f\|$  by a larger class of sequences. They proved that there is a constant  $A$  therefore if  $(c_n)$  is a sequence in some specific sequence space, then for any  $f$  in Hardy space  $H^1$ , the inequality holds  $\sum_{n=0}^\infty |c_n a_n| \leq A \|c_n\| \|f\|$ . This generalization is for a function  $f$  of one variable.

To generalize Hardy's inequality to functions  $f$  of several complex variables, we need to concern whether our functions are defined on the unit ball  $\mathbb{B}_d$  or the polydisk  $\mathbb{B}^d$ . Basically, we cannot apply iterated integrals ( $d$ -times) to a function in  $H^p(\mathbb{B}_d)$  as we usually do to functions in  $H^p(\mathbb{B}^d)$ .

In this paper, we will show that we can adjust to the proof in Duren (1970) to obtain Hardy's inequality that is valid for functions in either  $H^p(\mathbb{B}_d)$  or  $H^p(\mathbb{B}^d)$ . A difficulty in the case of functions of several complex variables is that a holomorphic function  $f$  is represented by  $f(z) = \sum a_n z^n$  where  $n = (n_1, \dots, n_d)$  is a multi-index. However, we will show that the set of multi-indices can be totally ordered in the way which enables us to prove a generalized Hardy's inequality.

MAIN THEOREMS

For multi-indices  $n = (n_1, \dots, n_d)$  and  $m = (m_1, \dots, m_d)$  in  $\mathbb{N}_0^d$  where  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ , we define  $|n| = \sum_{i=1}^d n_i$ ,  $n! = n_1! \dots n_d!$  and  $n \pm m = (n_1 \pm m_1, \dots, n_d \pm m_d)$ . For  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d$ , we also define  $z^n = z_1^{n_1} \dots z_d^{n_d}$ . With this notation and for a given  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , there are  $\frac{(k+d-1)!}{k!(d-1)!}$  terms of  $a_n z^n$  when  $|n| = k$ .

First we consider a lemma by Peter Duren which defines a bilinear form on vectors  $x = (x_n)$  and  $y = (y_n)$  in  $\mathbb{C}^N$  and prove that it is bounded. We then generalize this result to the case where  $n$  are multi-indices. This result plays an important role in proving the Hilbert's inequality in Lemma 3 and Hardy's inequality in Theorem 2.

*Lemma 1.* Let  $\psi \in L^\infty([0, 2\pi])$  and  $\lambda_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-int} \psi(t) dt$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Let  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$  and  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_N)$  be vectors in  $\mathbb{C}^N$ . Define

$$A_N(x, y) = \sum_{n,m=0}^N \lambda_{n+m} x_n y_m.$$

Then

$$|A_N(x, y)| \leq \|\psi\|_\infty \|x\| \|y\|.$$

*Proof.* This proof is due to Duren (1970),

$$\begin{aligned} |A_N(x, x)| &= \left| \sum_{n,m=0}^N \lambda_{n+m} x_n x_m \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{n,m=0}^N e^{-int} x_n \cdot e^{-imt} x_m \psi(t) dt \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \sum_{n=0}^N e^{-int} x_n \right)^2 \psi(t) dt \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{n=0}^N e^{-int} x_n \right|^2 \|\psi\|_\infty dt \\ &= \frac{\|\psi\|_\infty}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{n=0}^N e^{-int} x_n \right|^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we obtain,

$$\left| \sum_{n=0}^N e^{-int} x_n \right|^2 = \left( \sum_{n=0}^N e^{-int} x_n \right) \left( \sum_{n=0}^N e^{-int} \overline{x_n} \right) = \sum_{n=0}^N |x_n|^2 + \sum_{n \neq m} e^{i(m-n)t} x_n \overline{x_m}. \tag{1}$$

Note that,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} e^{ikt} dt = 0$$

if  $k \neq 0$ . Therefore,

$$|A_N(x, x)| \leq \frac{\|\psi\|_\infty}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{n=0}^N |e^{-int} x_n|^2 dt = \frac{\|\psi\|_\infty}{2\pi} 2\pi \|x\|^2 = \|\psi\|_\infty \|x\|^2. \tag{2}$$

This bilinear form also satisfies the polarization identity

$$A_N(x, y) = \frac{1}{4} A_N(x+y, x+y) - \frac{1}{4} A_N(x-y, x-y).$$

Then by the parallelogram law,

$$\|x+y\|^2 + \|x-y\|^2 = 2\|x\|^2 + 2\|y\|^2,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |A_N(x, y)| &= \frac{1}{4} |A_N(x+y, x+y) - A_N(x-y, x-y)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} (|A_N(x+y, x+y)| + |A_N(x-y, x-y)|) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \|(\psi)_\infty\| (\|x+y\|^2 + \|x-y\|^2) = \frac{1}{2} \|\psi\|_\infty (\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2). \end{aligned}$$

We can see that when  $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$ ,  $|A_N(x, y)| \leq \|\psi\|_\infty$ .

Hence  $|A_N(x, y)| \leq \|\psi\|_\infty \|x\| \|y\|$ .

To generalize this inequality to the case of multi-indices  $n = (n_1, \dots, n_d)$ , we need a new formula for  $\lambda_n$ . Then, if we can find an upper bound of  $|A_N(x, x)|$  in terms of  $\|x\|$ , we automatically obtain an upper bound of  $|A_N(x, y)|$ . The reason is that the rest of this proof depends only on properties of the norm.

Now, let  $x = (x_n)$  be a vector where  $n$  is a multi-index with  $|n| = 0, 1, \dots, N$ . For example, if  $N = 3$  and  $d = 2$ , then a vector  $(x_n)$  could be  $(x_{00}, x_{01}, x_{10}, x_{11}, x_{20}, x_{12}, x_{21}, x_{30}, x_{03})$ . Note that we can consider  $(x_n)$  when  $0 \leq |n| \leq N$  as a finite sequence with  $\sum_{k=0}^N \frac{(k+d-1)!}{k!(d-1)!}$  terms. We also define  $\|x\|$  to be  $\sqrt{\sum_{|n|}^N |x_n|^2}$ .

Now, when  $n$  is a multi-index, the term  $e^{-int}$  in the formula for  $\lambda_n$  is invalid. For the first try, one may replace  $n$  by  $|n|$  and let  $\lambda_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-i|n|t} \psi(t) dt$ . Unfortunately, the map  $|\cdot| : n \mapsto |n|$  is not injective. There exist multi-indices  $r, s$  such that  $r \neq s$  but  $|r| = |s|$ , for example

$$|(1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)| = |(0, 1, \dots, 0)|.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{n \neq m} e^{i(|n|-|m|)t} x_n \overline{x_m} dt \neq 0$$

and hence

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{n=0}^N e^{-int} x_n \right|^2 dt \neq \|x\|^2.$$

Thus we will not obtain an analogue of inequality (2). However, it suggests that if we have an injective function  $\phi(n)$  on the set of multi-indices and let

$$\lambda_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-i\phi(n)t} \psi(t) dt,$$

then the proof of Lemma 1 will also be valid for the case where  $n$  is a multi-index. This will lead to Lemma 2 below.

Before we state Lemma 2, let us discuss the existence of  $\phi$ . We know from the Zermelo's well-ordering theorem that every set can be well-ordered (and hence totally ordered) which implies the existence of an injective function  $\phi$  from any set to the set  $\mathbb{N}$ . However, the proof of the Zermelo's well-ordering theorem is non-constructive. Below, we give an explicit construction of an injective function  $\phi$  from the set of all multi-indices to the set  $\mathbb{N}$ .

The following Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 hold for an arbitrary injective function  $\phi$ . However Theorem 1 requires that  $\phi$  has to be independent of the order  $N$  of a multi-index  $n$ . This is because, in the proof of Theorem 1, we find an upper bound of the summation  $\sum_{|n|=0}^N \lambda_n |a_n|$ , in the following

Inequality (3). Then we take  $N \rightarrow \infty$  to obtain an upper bound of  $\sum_{|n|=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n |a_n|$ . This strategy suggests that  $\lambda_n$  must be independent of  $N$ .

We first look for a function  $\phi$  defined on the set of multi-indices which is independent of  $N$ . Consider the relation  $\leq$  for multi-index notation. We say that  $n \leq m$  if  $n_i \leq m_i$  for all  $i$ . This relation is merely partially ordered and, for example, we cannot compare  $(1, 0, 1)$  and  $(0, 1, 0)$ . Now, for  $n \neq m$ , we denote  $n < m$  if,

1.  $|n| < |m|$  or
2.  $|n| = |m|$  with  $n_1 n_2 \dots n_{d_{|n|+1}} < m_1 m_2 \dots m_{d_{|n|+1}}$ ,

where  $n_1 n_2 \dots n_{d_{|n|+1}}$  is the representation of a number in base  $|n| + 1$ .

Precisely,

$$n_1 n_2 \dots n_{d_{|n|+1}} = \sum_{k=1}^d n_k (|n| + 1)^{d-k}.$$

Now, the relation  $<$  is totally ordered. Since the relation  $<$  is totally ordered, we can construct an injective function  $\phi$  defined according to as follows.

For example, when  $d = 3$ , we have  $(0, 0, 0) < (0, 0, 1) < (0, 1, 0) < (1, 0, 0) < (0, 0, 2) < (0, 1, 1) < (0, 2, 0) < (1, 0, 1) < (1, 1, 0) < (2, 0, 0) < (0, 0, 3) < \dots$

It is easy to see that we arrange the multi-indices  $n$  according to their order  $|n|$ . Then, among multi-indices with the same order, we arrange them according to their values in base  $|n| + 1$ , each of which is a unique representation.

Then we define  $\phi(n)$  according to the arrangement of  $n$  via the relation  $<$ . As in this example, we obtain  $\phi((0, 0, 0)) = 0, \phi((0, 0, 1)) = 1, \phi((0, 1, 0)) = 2, \phi((1, 0, 0)) = 3, \phi((0, 0, 2)) = 4, \dots$ . We note that  $\phi$  is injective. When  $d = 1$ , we also have  $\phi(n) = n$ . We now generalize Lemma 1 to the following lemma for vectors  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  where  $n$  is a multi-index.

*Lemma 2.* Let  $\psi \in L^\infty([0, 2\pi])$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $N = \{n = (n_1, \dots, n_d) : 0 \leq |n| \leq N\}$ , and  $\phi$  be an injective function from  $N$  to  $\mathbb{N}$ . Let

$$\lambda_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-i\phi(n)t} \psi(t) dt,$$

$n = (n_1, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$  and  $A_N(x, y) = \sum_{|n|=0}^N \lambda_n x_n y_n$ . Then  $|A_N(x, y)| \leq \|\psi\|_\infty \|x\| \|y\|$ .

*Proof.* The proof of this lemma is analogous to that of Lemma 1.

In the previous lemmas, the function  $\psi$  is an arbitrary function in  $L^\infty([0, 2\pi])$  and Lemma 2 is valid for any injective function  $\phi$ . Next, in Lemma 3 (and also later

in Theorem 2), we will choose a specific function  $\psi$ , i.e. we will use  $\psi(t) = ie^{-it}(\pi - t)$ . This will fix  $\|\psi\|_\infty$  and thus a constant in the equality. With this specific choice of  $\psi$  together with an injective function  $\phi$ , we define  $\lambda_{n+m}$  and compute  $|\lambda_{n+m}|$ , as well as  $\|\psi\|_\infty$ . Applying this result to the inequality in Lemma 2, we obtain another version of Hilbert’s inequality.

*Lemma 3.* Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$\mathcal{N} = \{n = (n_1, \dots, n_d) : 0 \leq |n| \leq N\}$ , and  $\phi$  be an injective function from  $\mathcal{N}$  to  $\mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$\left| \sum_{|n| \leq N} \frac{x_n y_m}{\phi(n+m)+1} \right| \leq \pi \|x\| \|y\|.$$

*Proof.* Choose  $\psi(t) = ie^{-it}(\pi - t)$ . By Lemma 2, we have  $\lambda_{n+m}$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-i\phi(n+m)t} ie^{-it}(\pi - t) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} i \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-i(\phi(n+m)+1)t} (\pi - t) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} i (\pi \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-i(\phi(n+m)+1)t} dt - \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-i(\phi(n+m)+1)t} t dt). \end{aligned}$$

By the Euler formula

$$e^{ix} = \cos x + i \sin x,$$

the first integral can be eliminated and the second integral can be decomposed as

$$\int_0^{2\pi} t \cos[(\phi(n+m)+1)t] dt + i \int_0^{2\pi} t \sin[(\phi(n+m)+1)t] dt.$$

Using integration by parts, we also obtain

$$\int_0^{2\pi} t \cos[(\phi(n+m)+1)t] dt = 0.$$

However,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} t \sin[(\phi(n+m)+1)t] dt = -\frac{2\pi}{\phi(n+m)+1}.$$

Therefore,  $|\lambda_{n+m}| = \frac{1}{\phi(n+m)+1}$ . Consider  $|\psi(t)| = |ie^{-it}(\pi - t)| = |\pi - t| \leq \pi$ , for all  $t$ . Therefore,  $\|\psi\|_\infty \leq \pi$ . Then, by Lemma 2,

$$\left| \sum_{|n| \leq N} \frac{x_n y_m}{\phi(n+m)+1} \right| \leq \pi \|x\| \|y\|.$$

Now we shall consider a function  $f \in H^1(\mathbb{B}_d)$ . Suppose that the Taylor expansion of  $f$  is of the form  $f(z) = \sum a_n z^n$ . Then, by orthogonality of  $\{z^n\}$  as functions in  $H^2$ , we can

compute the norm of  $f$  in terms of the sum of the square of the Taylor coefficients  $\sum |a_n|^2$ . The next theorem shows a relation between a weighted sum of coefficients in the Taylor expansion of  $f \in H^1$  and the norm  $\|f\|_1$ .

*Theorem 1.* Let

$$f(z) = \sum_{|n|=0}^\infty a_n z^n \in H^1 \text{ and } \lambda_n \geq 0. \text{ Then } \sum_{|n|=0}^\infty \lambda_n |a_n| \leq \|\psi\|_\infty \|f\|_1.$$

*Proof.* Since  $f \in H^1$ , there exist  $g$  and  $h$  in the same  $H^2$  such that  $f = gh$  and  $\|g\|_2 = \|h\|_2 = \|f\|_1$ . We can also write  $g$  and  $h$  as  $g(z) = \sum_{|n|=0}^\infty b_n z^n$  and  $h(z) = \sum_{|n|=0}^\infty c_n z^n$ . Consider,

$$f(z) = \sum a_n z^n = (\sum b_n z^n)(\sum c_n z^n).$$

For the case  $d = 1$ , it is easy to verify that  $a_n = \sum_{|k|=0}^\infty b_k c_{n-k}$ . For  $d \geq 1$ , the product  $b_k z^k c_s z^s$  is of the form

$$b_{k_1, \dots, k_d} c_{s_1, \dots, s_d} z_1^{k_1+s_1} \dots z_d^{k_d+s_d}.$$

Therefore, to obtain

$$a_n z^n = a_{n_1, \dots, n_d} z_1^{n_1} \dots z_d^{n_d},$$

we need all possible choices of  $k = (k_1, \dots, k_d)$  and  $s = (s_1, \dots, s_d)$  such that  $s = n - k$ , which is the same as in the case  $d = 1$ . Therefore, we also obtain  $a_n = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} b_k c_{n-k}$ . However, we should note that, for the case  $d = 1$ , there are  $n + 1$  terms in  $a_n = \sum_{k=0}^n b_k c_{n-k}$  whereas there are  $(n_1 + 1)(n_2 + 1) \dots (n_d + 1)$  terms in  $a_n = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} b_k c_{n-k}$  for the case  $d \geq 1$ . Then, by the triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|n|=0}^N \lambda_n |a_n| &= \sum_{|n|=0}^N \lambda_n \left| \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} b_k c_{n-k} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{|n|=0}^N \lambda_n \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} |b_k| |c_{n-k}|. \end{aligned}$$

The summation  $\sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} |b_k| |c_{n-k}|$  depends on  $n$ . Therefore,

$$\sum_{|n|=0}^N \lambda_n \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} |b_k| |c_{n-k}| \leq \sum_{|k|, |m|=0}^N \lambda_{k+m} |b_k| |c_m| \leq \|\psi\|_\infty \|g\|_2 \|h\|_2.$$

The last inequality is a consequence of Lemma 2. Since  $\|g\|_2 \|h\|_2 = \|f\|_1$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{|n|=0}^N \lambda_n |a_n| \leq \|\psi\|_\infty \|f\|_1. \tag{3}$$

for any  $N$ . By letting  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain  $\sum_{|n|=0}^\infty \lambda_n |a_n| \leq \|\psi\|_\infty \|f\|_1$ .

Next, we will show that the Hardy’s inequality for functions of several complex variables can be easily proved by using Theorem 1 together with the function  $\psi$  defined in Lemma 3.

*Theorem 2.* If  $f(z) = \sum_{|n|=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in H^1$  and  $\phi$  is an injective function from the set of multi-indices to the set  $\mathbb{N}$ , then

$$\sum_{|n|=0}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|}{\phi(n)+1} \leq \pi \|f\|, \quad (4)$$

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be any function in  $H^1$  and  $\psi(t) = ie^{-it}(\pi - t)$  for  $0 \leq t \leq 2\pi$ . Then  $\|\psi\|_{\infty} \leq \pi$ . As in the proof of Lemma 3, we obtain  $|\lambda_n| = \frac{1}{\phi(n)+1}$ . Then Inequality (4) follows from Theorem 1. We shall also call Inequality (4) Hardy's inequality.

#### DISCUSSION

Our Hardy's inequality (4) comes directly from Inequality (3) in Theorem 1. With our specific choice of function  $\psi$ , we have  $\|\psi\|_{\infty} \leq \pi$  and  $|\lambda_n| = \frac{1}{\phi(n)+1}$ . The latter holds for any injective function  $\phi$  which is independent of  $f$ . The proof of Theorem 1 involves only the coefficients of the Taylor expansion of  $f$ , regardless of where  $f$  is defined. Therefore, Hardy's inequality (Inequality (4)) holds for all functions  $f$  in Hardy space  $H^1(\mathbb{B}_d)$  as well as functions  $f$  in  $H^1(\mathbb{B}^d)$ .

Let us note that Lemmas 2 and 3 are true for any injective function  $\phi$  defined on the set of multi-indices  $n$  when  $0 \leq |n| \leq N$  and they do not require that  $\phi$  has to be  $N$ -independent. For example, let us consider a function  $\Phi$  defined by

$$\Phi(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_d) = n_1 n_2 \dots n_{dN+1},$$

which is also injective but less complicated than the function  $\varphi$  we constructed earlier. The proof of Lemma 3 is also true for this function  $\Phi$ . However, we cannot use this  $\Phi$  in Theorem 1 because the formula for  $\Phi$  depends on  $N$  which will cause a problem when we take  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

The proof of Theorem 2 is valid for any injective function from the set of multi-indices to the set  $\mathbb{N}$ . Our

specific example  $\phi$  (constructed before Lemma 2) has a property that  $\phi(n) = n$  when  $d = 1$ . Thus Inequality (4) reduces to Hardy's inequality  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|}{n+1}$  when  $d = 1$ . Suppose that  $\phi$  is another injective function such that when  $d = 1$ , the value  $\phi(n)$  is not necessarily equal to  $n$ . Then, Inequality (4) will yield another version of Hardy's inequality for  $d = 1$ , where the denominators  $n + 1$  of the summation  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|}{n+1}$  in the standard Hardy's inequality will be replaced by a sequence of distinct integers greater than 1. Therefore, not only that Inequality (4) generalizes the standard Hardy's inequality to the case  $d > 1$ , it also gives a generalization in the case  $d = 1$ .

#### REFERENCES

- Duren, P. 1970. *Theory of Spaces*. New York: Academic Press.  
 Paulsen, V.I. & Singh, D. 2015. Extension of the inequalities of Hardy and Hilbert, *arXive*: <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1502.05909.pdf>.  
 Sababheh, M. 2008a. Hardy-type inequality on the real lines. *J. of Ineq. in Pure and Applied Math.* 9(3): No. 72.  
 Sababheh, M. 2008b. On an argument of Korner and Hardy's inequality. *Analysis Mathematica* 34: 51-57.  
 Zhu, K. 2004. Translating inequalities between Hardy and Bergman spaces. *Amer. Math. Monthly.* 111(6): 520-525.

Applied Analysis Research Unit  
 Department of Mathematics and Statistics  
 Prince of Songkla University  
 Hatyai, Songkhla, 90110  
 Thailand

\*Corresponding author; email: kamthorn.c@psu.ac.th

Received: 31 August 2016

Accepted: 18 January 2017